arXiv:0905.3869v1 [math.DG] 24 May 2009

ENTIRE SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS TO LAGRANGIAN MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

ALBERT CHAU, JINGYI CHEN, AND WEIYONG HE

Abstract. We consider self-similar solutions to mean curvature evolution of entire Lagrangian graphs. When the Hessian of the potential function u has eigenvalues strictly uniformly between -1 and 1, we show that on the potential level all the shrinking solitons are quadratic polynomials while the expanding solitons are in one-to-one correspondence to functions of homogenous of degree 2 with the Hessian bound. We also show that if the initial potential function is cone-like at infinity then the scaled flow converges to an expanding soliton as time goes to infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. Then $L_u = \{(x, Du(x)) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ defines a graphical Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{C}^n$. On the other hand, any entire graphical Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ can be obtained by such a potential u, up to addition of constants. Let

$$G(D^2u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \log \frac{\det(I_n + \sqrt{-1}D^2u)}{\sqrt{\det(I_n + (D^2u)^2)}},$$

where I_n is the n dimensional identity matrix. The operator $G(D^2u)$ is strictly elliptic and arises in the equation for minimal Lagrangian graphs. Namely, L_u is a minimal submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ exactly when $G(D^2u)$ is equal to some constant Θ. In this note, we will consider the following two equations for $u(x)$:

$$G(D^2u) - u + \frac{1}{2}\nabla u \cdot x = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$G(D^2u) + u - \frac{1}{2}\nabla u \cdot x = 0. \tag{2}$$

Date: May 19, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C44, 53A10.

The first two authors are partially supported by NSERC, and the third author is partially supported by a PIMS postdoctoral fellowship.

Our motivation is that (1) and (2) are the defining equations for self-expanding and self-shrinking solutions to the Lagrangian mean curvature flow of entire graphs in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We describe this in more detail in the next section. We will refer to an entire solution to (1) as a *self-expanding soliton* and an entire solution to (2) as a *self-shrinking soliton.*

Definition 1.1. We say that u_0 satisfies *Condition A* if $D^2u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$-(1-\delta)I_n \leq D^2u_0 \leq (1-\delta)I_n$$

for some $\delta \in (0,1)$ where I_n is the n dimensional identity matrix. We say u_0 satisfies *Condition B* if $D^2u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$u_0(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}u_0(\lambda x)$$

for any $\lambda > 0$.

We now state our main result

Theorem 1.1. *If u is a smooth self-shrinking soliton satisfying Condition A, then u is a quadratic. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between smooth self-expanding solitons satisfying Condition A and functions which satisfy Condition A and Condition B. In particular, there are infinitely many nontrivial smooth self-expanding solitons satisfying Condition A.*

We also obtain a convergence result for the following fully nonlinear parabolic equation which arises from the Lagrangian mean curvature flow (see §2):

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{du}{dt} = G(D^2u) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let u_0 satisfy Condition A and suppose that*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \lambda^{-2}u_0(\lambda x) \to U_0(x) \tag{4}$$

for some $U_0(x)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. Let $u(x,t)$ and $U(x,t)$ be solutions to (3) *with initial data $u_0(x)$ and $U_0(x)$ respectively. Then $t^{-1}u(\sqrt{t}x,t)$ converges to $U(x,1)$ uniformly and smoothly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ when $t \to \infty$, where $U(x,1)$ is a self-expanding soltion.*

If we define $v(x,s) = t^{-1}u(\sqrt{t}x,t)$ where $s = \log t$ and $t \geq 1$. Then $v(x,s)$ satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial s} = G(D^2v) - v + \frac{1}{2}\nabla v \cdot x.$$

Theorem 1.2 implies that $v(x,s)$ converges to $U(x,1)$ when $s \to \infty$. For mean curvature flow of entire graphic hypersurface, if the initial surface is cone-like at infinity, in the sense that the normal component of the graph decays to zero at infinity at a certain rate, then the scaled mean curvature flow converges to a self-expanding soliton [4], where the monotonicity formula plays an important role. Our method is different from [4] and is based on the existence result and the estimates in [2] and the uniqueness result in [3].

Some interesting examples of non-graphical self-similar solutions to Lagrangian mean curvature flow in $\mathbb{C}^n$ have recently been constructed [5, 6]; also see references therein.

2. Preliminaries

We now describe the connections among the elliptic equations (1) and (2), the parabolic equation (3) and the Lagrangian mean curvature flow.

Let $F_0 : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be an embedding of a manifold M^n into $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. Then the mean curvature flow with initial condition F_0 is the equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dF}{dt} = H \\ F(x,0) = F_0(x) \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $H(x,t)$ is the mean curvature vector of the submanifold $F(\cdot,t)(M)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ at $F(x,t)$. The solution $F(\cdot,t) : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ is called *self-expanding* if it is defined for all $t > 0$ and $F(\cdot,t)$ has the form

$$M_t = \sqrt{t}\, M_1, \qquad \text{for all } t > 0 \tag{6}$$

where $M_t = F(\cdot,t)(M)$ and $\sqrt{t}$ represents the homothety $p \mapsto \sqrt{t}p$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. Similarly, $F(\cdot,t) : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ is called *self-shrinking* if it is defined for all $t < 0$ and $F(x,t)$ has the form

$$M_t = \sqrt{-t}\, M_{-1}, \quad \text{for all } t < 0. \tag{7}$$

Now when $F_0(x) = (x, Du_0(x))$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ defines an entire Lagrangian graph for some potential $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, then (5) is equivalent to the following fully nonlinear parabolic equation (3):

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{du}{dt} = G(D^2u) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

In particular, if u is a solution to (3) then there exists a family of diffeomorphisms [7] $r_t : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $F(x,t) = (r_t(x), Du(r_t(x),t))$ solves (5). Now suppose the family $F(x,t)$ satisfies (6). Then we have

$$D\left(u(x,t) - tu\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right)\right) = 0, \ \forall\ t > 0. \tag{8}$$

Thus by letting $t = 1$, we have

$$u(x,t) = tu\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right), \ \forall\ t > 0. \tag{9}$$

Using (3) and (9) we verify directly that $u(x,1)$ satisfies (1), in other words, $u(x,1)$ is a self-expanding soliton. Similarly, we can derive that if $F(x,t)$ is a self-shrinking solution to (5) with corresponding solution $u(x,t)$ to (3), then $u(x,t)$ satisfies

$$u(x,t) = -tu\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{-t}}, -1\right), \forall\ t > 0, \tag{10}$$

and $u(x,-1)$ satisfies (2). So $u(x,1)$ is a self-shrinking soliton.

Conversely, it is not hard to show that if $u(x)$ solves either (1) or (2), then using (9) or (10) respectively we can generate a solution $F(x,t)$ to (5) which is either shrinking or expanding. We illustrate this in detail in the expanding case. The case for shrinking solutions is similar. Suppose $u(x)$ solves (1) and define $u(x,t) := tu(x/\sqrt{t})$. Then as above, the family $M_t := \{(x, Du(x,t)) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is easily checked to satisfy (6). On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt}(x,t) &= u\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla u(x\sqrt{t}) \cdot \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \\ &= G\left(D_y^2 u\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right) \\ &= G\left(D^2 u(x,t)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $y = x/\sqrt{t}$. In other words, $u(x,t)$ solves (3) and by the discussion above there exists a family r_t such that $F(x,t) = (r_t(x), Du(r_t(x),t))$ is solution to (5) which is self-expanding.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is to consider the parabolic equation (3) with Lipschitz initial data. Let us recall the main theorem in [2]:

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ *satisfy Condition A. Then* (3) *has a longtime smooth solution* $u(x,t)$ *for all* $t > 0$ *with initial condition* u_0 *such that the following estimates hold:*

(i) $-(1-\delta)I_n \le D^2 u \le (1-\delta)I_n$ *for all* $t > 0$,

(ii) $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \left|D^l u(x,t)\right|^2 \le C(l,\delta)/t^{l-2}$, *for* $l \ge 3$ *and some* $C(l,\delta)$.

(iii) $u(x,t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty))$ *and* $u(x,t)$ *converges to* $u_0(x)$ *in the Lipschitz norm when* $t \to 0$.

We will apply Theorem 3.1 and the uniqueness result in [3] for the parabolic equation (3) to prove Theorem 1.1. The proof consists of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ *satisfy Condition A and Condition B. Then* (3) *has a unique longtime smooth solution* $u(x,t)$ *for all* $t > 0$ *with initial condition* u_0 *such that* $u(x,t) = tu(x/\sqrt{t},1)$. *In particular* $u(x,1)$ *is a smooth self-expanding soliton satisfying* (1). *Conversely, if* v *is smooth a self-expanding soliton satisfying Condition A, then there exists* u_0 *satisfying Condition A and Condition B such that* $u(x,t)$ *is the unique solution of* (3) *with initial condition* u_0 *and* $v = u(x,1)$.

Proof. If u_0 satisfies Condition A, then by Theorem 3.1, there exists a smooth solution $u(x,t)$ to (3) for all $t > 0$ with initial data u_0. It is clear that

$$u_\lambda(x,t) := \lambda^{-2} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$$

is also a solution to (3) with initial data

$$u_\lambda(x,0) = \lambda^{-2} u_0(\lambda x) = u_0(x)$$

where we have used that u_0 satisfies Condition B. Since $u_\lambda(x,0) = u_0$, the uniqueness result in [3] implies

$$u(x,t) = u_\lambda(x,t).$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. Therefore $u(x,t)$ satisfies (9), and hence $u(x,1)$ solves (1). In other words, $u(x,1)$ is a smooth self-expanding soliton.

Now suppose that v is a smooth solution to (1) satisfying Condition A (in fact, it suffices to assume $D^2 v$ is bounded). Define $u(x,t)$ for $t > 0$ by

$$u(x,t) = tv\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

It is clear that $u(x,t)$ satisfies the evolution equation in (3) since v satisfies (1). Now we claim that the limit of $u(x,t)$ exists when t goes to zero. To see this, begin by noting $u(0,t) = tv(0)$ for $t > 0$ and so

$$\lim_{t\to 0} u(0,t) = 0.$$

Moreover, it is clear that

$$Du(0,t) = \sqrt{t}Dv(0)$$

and that for any $t > 0$

$$-(1-\delta)I_n \leq D^2u(x,t) \leq (1-\delta)I_n$$

since

$$D^2u(x,t) = D^2\left(tv\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right) = D^2v\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

We may then conclude that for any sequence $t_i \to 0$ there is a subsequence t_{k_i} such that $u(x, t_{k_i})$ converges in $C^{1,\alpha}$ uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for any $0 < \alpha < 1$. This limit is in fact independent of the sequence t_i. Indeed, let u_1 and u_2 be two such limits along sequences t_i and t'_i respectively. Since $u(x,t)$ is a solution to (3), $\partial u/\partial t$ is also uniformly bounded for any $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we may have

$$|u(x,t_i) - u(x,t'_i)| \leq C|t_i - t'_i|$$

for some C independent of i. Letting $i \to \infty$, we conclude that $u_1(x) = u_2(x)$. So for different sequences $t_i \to 0$, the limit is unique. Let

$$u_0(x) = \lim_{t\to 0} u(x,t).$$

Then $D^2u_0 \in L^\infty$ and we have

$$-(1-\delta)I_n \leq D^2u_0 \leq (1-\delta)I_n.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\lambda^2}u_0(\lambda x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\lim_{t\to 0} tv\left(\frac{\lambda x}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \\
&= \lim_{t\to 0}\left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\lambda}\right)^2 v\left(\frac{\lambda x}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \\
&= u_0(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore u_0 satisfies Condition B. $\square$

Remark 3.1. Lemma 3.1 provides many examples of entire graphical Lagrangian self-expanding solitons. For instance, we can choose u_0 such that

$$u_0(x) = \begin{cases} a_1x_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_ix_i^2, & \text{if } x_1 \geq 0 \\ -a_1x_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_ix_i^2, & \text{if } x_1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

If $a_i \in (-1+\delta, 1-\delta)$ for any fixed $\delta \in (0,1)$, then u_0 satisfies Condition A and Condition B and $u(x,1)$ is a self-expanding soliton which satifies (1). As u_0 is not smooth, $u(x,1)$ cannot be a quadratic polynomial.

Next, we show that smooth self-shrinking solitons are trivial if Condition A holds.

Lemma 3.2. *If v is a smooth solution of (2) such that $-(1-\delta)I_n \leq D^2v \leq (1-\delta)I_n$, then v is a quadratic polynomial.*

Proof. If v is a smooth solution to (2), then

$$u(x,t) = (1-t)v\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-t}}\right)$$

is a solution to (3) for $t \in (0,1)$ and $u(x,0) = v(x)$, hence Theorem 3.1 applies to $u(x,t)$ since this solution is unique, and in particular $|D^3u(x,t)| \leq C$ for some constant C when $t \geq 1/2$ for any x. But one checks directly that

$$D^3u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}}D^3v\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-t}}\right).$$

This implies

$$\left|D^3v(x)\right| = \left|D^3v\left(\frac{x\sqrt{1-t}}{\sqrt{1-t}}\right)\right| \leq C\sqrt{1-t}$$

for any x. It follows that $D^3v(x) = 0$, thus v is quadratic. $\square$

Now we prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Let $u(x,t)$ be the solution to (3) with initial data u_0 satisfying Condition A. It is clear that for any λ,

$$u_\lambda(x,t) = \lambda^{-2}u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$$

is a solution of (3) with initial data $u_\lambda(x,0) = \lambda^{-2}u_0(\lambda x)$ satisfying Condition A. By the uniqueness result in [3], we may then apply the estimates in Theorem 3.1 to $u_\lambda(x,t)$. For any sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$, consider the solutions $u_{\lambda_i}(x,t)$. For $t > 0$, it is clear that

$$D^2u_{\lambda_i}(x,t) = D^2u(\lambda_i x, \lambda_i^2 t)$$

and so by Theorem 3.1,

$$-(1-\delta)I_n \leq D^2u_{\lambda_i}(x,t) \leq (1-\delta)I_n$$

for all x and $t \geq 0$, and for $t > 0$ and $l \geq 3$

$$\left|D^l u_{\lambda_i}(x,t)\right| \leq C(l,\delta)\sqrt{t}^{2-l}.$$

Thus by (3) we can also get the following estimates that, for any $m \geq 1, l \geq 0$, there is a constant $C(m,l,\delta)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} D^l u_{\lambda_i} \right| \leq C(m,l,\delta) \sqrt{t}^{2-l-2m}.$$

In particular, there are constants C and $C(\delta)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_{\lambda_i}}{\partial t} \right| \leq C, \quad \left| \frac{\partial D u_{\lambda_i}}{\partial t} \right| \leq \frac{C(\delta)}{\sqrt{t}}$$

for all $t > 0$. We observe that

$$u_{\lambda_i}(0,0) = \lambda_i^{-2} u_0(0)$$

and

$$D u_{\lambda_i}(0,0) = \lambda_i^{-1} D u_0(0)$$

are both bounded, thus $u_{\lambda_i}(0,t)$ and $Du_{\lambda_i}(0,t)$ are uniformly bounded in i for any fixed t. By Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence λ_{k_i} such that $u_{\lambda_{k_i}}(x,t)$ converges smoothly and uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ to a solution $U(x,t)$ of (3). Moreover, $U(x,t)$ satisfies the estimates in Theorem 3.1. Since $\partial U/\partial t$ is uniformly bounded for any $t > 0$, $U(x,t)$ converges to some function $U(x,0)$ when $t \to 0$. In particular we have

$$U(x,0) = \lim_{\lambda_{k_i} \to \infty} \lambda_{k_i}^{-2} u_0(\lambda_{k_i} x).$$

It is clear that $U(x,0)$ satisfies Condition A. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, the hypothesis (4) implies that $U(x,0)$ satisfies Condition B. Thus by Theorem 1.1 we know that $U(x,1)$ is a self-expanding soliton, and $U(x,t)$ satisfies (9).

Moreover, notice that $U(x,0) = U_0(x)$ by (4) and $U_0(x)$ does not depend on the sequence λ_i, it then follows from the uniqueness result in [3] that the solution $U(x,t)$ is also independent of the sequence λ_i and we may write

$$u_\lambda(x,t) \to U(x,t).$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$. In particular, letting $\lambda = \sqrt{t}$ we have $t^{-1} u(\sqrt{t}x, t)$ converges to $U(x,1)$ smoothly and uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ when $t \to \infty$. □

Remark 3.2. The family of Lagrangian graphs $(x + at, Du_0(x) + bt)$ is a translating solution to (5) precisely when u satisfies

$$\sum_i \arctan \lambda_i + \sum_i a_i \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} - \sum_i b_i x_i = c, \tag{12}$$

for some constant c. In [2] the authors proved that if u is a smooth solution to (12) which satisfies condition A, then u is a quadratic function. We observe here that this result can also be obtained from the uniqueness result in [3] together with Theorem 3.1. Namely, if $u_0(x)$ solves (12) then $v(x,t) = u_0(x - at) + b \cdot x\, t + ct$ solves (3) with initial condition $v(x,0) = u_0(x)$. On the other hand, Theorem 3.1 guarantees a longtime solution $u(x,t)$ to (3) with initial condition u_0 for which $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} |D^3u(x,t)| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Then as $u(x,t) = v(x,t)$ by the uniqueness result in [3], $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} |D^3u_0(x-at)| = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} |D^3v(x,t)| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ and we conclude that $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} |D^3u_0(x)| = 0$, in other words u_0 must be quadratic.

References

1. G. Barles, S. Biton, O. Ley, *Uniqueness for parabolic equations without growth condition and applications to the mean curvature flow in* $\mathbf{R}^2$, J. Differential Equations **187** (1987), 456-472.
2. A. Chau, J. Chen, W. He, *Lagrangian Mean Curvature flow for entire Lipschitz graphs*, arXiv:0902.3300.
3. J. Chen, C. Pang, *Uniqueness of viscosity solutions of a geometric fully nonlinear parabolic equation*, arXiv:0905.3868.
4. K. Ecker, G. Huisken, *Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs*, Ann. of Math. (2) **130** (1989), no.3, 453-471.
5. H. Anciaux, *Construction of Lagrangian self-similar solutions to the mean curvature flow in* $\mathbb{C}^n$, Geom. Dedicata 120 (2006), 37–48.
6. D. Joyce, Y.I. Lee, M.P. Tsui, *Self-similar solutions and translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow*. arXiv:0801.372.
7. K. Smoczyk, *Longtime existence for the Lagrangian mean curvature flow*, Calc. Var. (2004), **20**, 25-46.

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., V6T 1Z2, Canada
E-mail address: `chau@math.ubc.ca`

E-mail address: `jychen@math.ubc.ca`

E-mail address: `whe@math.ubc.ca`